

**Name: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

**MYP Technology Assessment Task: *Unit 5 Wikis for Sharing & Collaboration***

Teacher: Mr. Thompson

**Due Date:**

**Area of Interaction**
Environments: How can I use technology to affect my learning environment in a positive way?

**Significant Concept**
Students will develop the understanding that we can use online tools to organize, share and collect feedback from others in meaningful ways.

**MYP Unit Question**

How has communication changed through time?

**Students will:**

* Investigate, research, design, plan create and evaluate an infographic

**Evidence required:**

* Completed wiki with:
	+ Completed digital design cycle
	+ At least two examples of work with a reflection on its relationship to the Learner Profile
	+ At least one page for listing your goals and reflections tracking them throughout the term

Please refer to the attached rubric created as your unit assessment.

Good luck!

**DISK Technology 　　　　　　　 　　　　　　　　　　　　　　 　Name:**

**Criteria A: Investigate**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Level** | **Descriptor** | Task specific clarifications |  | **Criteria A** |
| 0 | The student does not reach a standard described by any of the descriptors given below. |  |  | **Self Assessment** |
| 1 – 2 | The student **states** the problem. The student investigates the problem, **collecting** information from sources. The student lists some specifications. | * I was unable to independently identify problems I may have creating solutions to the problems stated by my teacher
* I did not list any research sources so that they could be checked
* Complete notes of my research findings were not evident in my design cycle booklet
* I did not create an effective design specification that stated what I had to do
* I made not test of my product/solution
 |  |  |
| 3 – 4 | The student **describes** the problem, **mentioning** its relevance. The student investigates the problem, **selecting and analysing** information from **some acknowledged** sources. The student **describes** a test to **evaluate** theproduct/solution against the design specification. | * I had some difficulty identifying problems I may have creating solutions to the problems stated by my teacher
* I listed some research sources so that they could be checked
* Notes of my research findings were evident in my design cycle booklet but may not have been clear or detailed enough to be useful
* I created a design specification that stated what I had to do
* I made incomplete notes of how testing would be done
 |  | **Achievement Level** |
|  |
| 5 – 6 | The student **explains** the problem, **discussing** its relevance. The student critically investigates the problem, **evaluating** information from a **broad range** of **appropriate, acknowledged** sources. The student describes **detailed** methods for appropriate testing to **evaluate** the product/solution against the design specification. | * I identified problems I may have creating solutions to the problems stated by my teacher
* I clearly listed my research sources so that they could be checked
* Notes of my research findings were clearly evident in my design cycle booklet
* I created an effective design specification (avoiding words like good) that clearly stated what I had to do
* I clearly noted how testing would be done
 |  |

**Criteria C: Plan**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Level** | **Descriptor** | Task specific clarifications |  | **Criteria C** |
| 0 | The student does not reach a standard described by any of the descriptors given below. |  |  | **Self Assessment** |
| 1 – 2 | The student produces a plan that contains **some details** of the steps and/or the resources required. | * My plan was recorded with some thought about time and resource needs.
* Someone else could not easily understand how my product was made by following my plan.
 |  |  |
| 3 – 4 | The student produces a plan that contains a number of **logical** steps that include resources and time. The student makes some attempt to evaluate the plan. | * My plan was recorded with time and resource needs. noted
* Someone else could basically understand how my product was made by following my plan.
* I evaluated the plan as outlined in the design cycle booklet.
 |  | **Achievement Level** |
|  |
| 5 – 6 | The student produces a plan that contains a **number** of **detailed, logical** steps that describe the use of resources and time. The student critically evaluates the plan and justifies any modifications to the design. | * My plan was neatly recorded with time and resource needs clearly noted.
* I carefully noted how I would use every class
* Someone else could easily understand how my product was made by following my plan.
 |  |
|

**Criteria F: Attitudes in Technology**

This criterion refers to students’ attitudes when working in technology. It focuses on an overall assessment of two aspects:

* personal engagement (motivation, independence, general positive attitude)
* attitudes towards safety, cooperation and respect for others.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Level** | **Descriptor** | Task specific clarifications |  | **Criteria F** |
| 0 | The student does not reach a standard described by any of the descriptors given below. |  |  | **Self Assessment** |
| 1 – 2 | The student **occasionally** displays a satisfactory standard in **one** of the aspects listed above. | * I tested the results of my product/solution on an audience but did not completely refer to the design specification
* I collected test results from one source or less
* My final reflection on my performance using the design cycle demonstrated little thought
* My self-evaluation on the impact of my product/solution lacked detail and/or thought
 |  |  |
| 3 – 4 | The student **frequently** displays a satisfactory standard in **both** of the aspects listed above. | * I tested the results of my product/solution on an audience but did not carefully refer to the design specification
* I collected test results from at least two sources
* My final reflection on my performance using the design cycle demonstrated some effort
* My self-evaluation on the impact of my product/solution was not very detailed and/or thoughtful
 |  | **Achievement Level** |
|  |
| 5 – 6 | The student **consistently** displays a satisfactory standard in **both** of the aspects listed above. | * I tested to see whether my design specification helped me create an product/solution that had its intended impact on my audience
* I collected test results from at least three sources
* The results of my test were readily available to be checked
* My final reflection on my performance using the design cycle demonstrated some serious thought
* My self-evaluation on the impact of my product/solution was detailed and thoughtful
 |  |
|

